

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

OFFICE OF COUNTY INVESTIGATIONS KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM 514 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-3557

OSCAR VALDEZ AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

CONNIE YEE CHIEF DEPUTY AUDITOR-CONTROLLER ASSISTANT AUDITOR-CONTROLLERS:

MAJIDA ADNAN ROBERT G. CAMPBELL

December 15, 2023

TO: Jackie Contreras, Ph.D., Director Department of Public Social Services

Greg Helenwed

FROM: Greg Hellmold, Chief Office of County Investigations

SUBJECT: DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES – IMPROVEMENT OPPORTUNITIES NOTED DURING LIMITED REVIEW (REPORT #IOR-2019-16172) - SECOND AND FINAL FOLLOW-UP REVIEW

We completed a second and final follow-up review of the Department of Public Social Services (DPSS or Department) Improvement Opportunities Noted During Limited Review dated July 12, 2021 (Report #IOR-2019-16172). We reviewed the status of one Priority 1 recommendation that had not been fully implemented in our first follow-up report issued December 28, 2022. As summarized in Table 1, DPSS fully implemented the recommendation.

RECOMMENDATION IMPLEMENTATION STATUS								
PRIORITY	TOTAL	EXEMPT FROM	FULLY	OUTSTANDING REG	NOT			
RANKINGS	RECOS		IMPLEMENTED	IMPLEMENTED	IMPLEMENTED			
PRIORITY 1	1	0	1	0	0			
PRIORITY 2	0	0	0	0	0			
PRIORITY 3	0	0	0	0	0			
TOTAL	1	0	1	0	0			
				0				

Table 1 – Results of Second and Final Follow-up Review

For details of our review and applicable corrective actions, see Attachment.

We thank DPSS management and staff for their cooperation and assistance during our review. If you have any questions, please contact me at (213) 893-0243 or <u>ghellmold@auditor.lacounty.gov</u>, or your staff may contact Supervising Investigator Tim Takara at (213) 893-0918 or <u>ttakara@auditor.lacounty.gov</u>.

GH:TW:TT:jc F2-2019-16172

Attachment

c: Oscar Valdez, Auditor-Controller Audit Committee Audit Division

LOS ANGELES COUNTY AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

Robert G. Campbell

ASSISTANT AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

DIVISION CHIEF

Greg Hellmold

OFFICE OF COUNTY INVESTIGATIONS

Report #F2-2019-16172

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES IMPROVEMENT OPPORTUNITIES NOTED DURING LIMITED REVIEW (#IOR-2019-16172) SECOND AND FINAL FOLLOW-UP REVIEW

	RECOMMENDATION		A-C COMMENTS		
1	Priority 1 – Department of Public Social Services				
	(DPSS or Department) management develop and				
	implement formal procedures, consistent with	a)	During our first follow-up review, we noted		
	applicable Department of Human Resources policies		DPSS' Human Relations Management Branch		
	and guidelines, to ensure designated staff:		(HRMB) established and memorialized		
			procedures and responsibilities to manage and		
	a) Notifies all responsible parties of substantiated cases of employee misconduct timely.		track substantiated employee misconduct cases and respective discipline in a memo dated		
	b) Transmits the notifications via a traceable and		June 30, 2021. However, the memo did not		
	verifiable medium, such as e-mail, ideally to a		establish timeframes for WFP&I to notify DPSS		
	group mailbox so that timely receipt and		IA of substantiated cases of welfare fraud		
	processing of such notifications is not dependent		misconduct committed by employees nor for IA		
	on any single employee.		to notify Discipline of substantiated cases of		
	c) Takes timely administrative and disciplinary		employee misconduct they receive or		
	actions, particularly in discharge cases involving		investigate internally.		
	work-related crimes or other significant misconduct.		For our current review, we confirmed that HPMP		
	d) Tracks and ages cases to ensure administrative		For our current review, we confirmed that HRMB management issued an amended memo dated		
	actions are timely and meet County and		September 29, 2023, that establishes		
	Department policies and expectations.		timeframes.		
	e) Are trained on the newly documented procedures.				
		b)	This was fully implemented during our first		
	Original Issue/Impact: During our limited review,		follow-up review.		
	we noted that DPSS does not have		We reviewed Dissipline's menthly list of esses of		
	formal/documented procedures to ensure designated staff notifies all responsible parties of substantiated		We reviewed Discipline's monthly list of cases of substantiated misconduct pending disciplinary		
	cases of employee misconduct, documents such		action for June and July 2023 and noted nine of		
	notifications including the date of referral, and		14 cases were completed within 90 days, which		
	ensures that timely follow up actions are taken.		is their established timeframe to receive,		
			process, and finalize cases. We also noted		
	We identified a case where DPSS' Welfare Fraud		three cases were undergoing the Skelly process		
	Prevention and Investigations (WFP&I) Section found a DPSS employee fraudulently obtained \$15,000 in		or under review by County Counsel, and two		
	welfare benefits and referred the matter to the		were pending a suspension action.		
	Los Angeles District Attorney's Office (LADA), but the		We reviewed Discipline's monthly list of cases of		
	Department did not initiate any disciplinary action for		substantiated misconduct pending disciplinary		
	approximately 23 months. The Office of County		action for June and July 2023 and noted that it		
	Investigations (OCI) also investigated and		tracks the dates the substantiated cases are		
	substantiated welfare fraud by the same employee,		received, the date the case was triaged, name		
	referred our findings to the LADA, and notified DPSS		of the Discipline staff assigned to the case,		
	Executive Management to take corrective action.		status of the case, and completion date.		

Priority Ranking: Recommendations are ranked from Priority 1 to 3 based on the potential seriousness and likelihood of negative impact on the Agency's operations if corrective action is not taken.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

We conducted our review in conformance with the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing. For more information on our auditing process, including recommendation priority rankings, the follow-up process, and management's responsibility for internal controls, visit <u>auditor.lacounty.gov/audit-process-information</u>.

Priority Ranking: Recommendations are ranked from Priority 1 to 3 based on the potential seriousness and likelihood of negative impact on the Agency's operations if corrective action is not taken.